## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/591,662	OTT ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Mark Eashoo	1796			
	10/591,662 Examiner	10/591,662         OTT ET AL.           Examiner         Art Unit		

	Mark Eashoo	1796		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	iress	
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, al (with appeal fee) in com	affidavit, or other evidence, or pliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07(if MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07(if MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07(if MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date of ter than SIX MONTHS from the b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) Wh	e mailing date of the final rejecti	on.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of thes set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding hortened statutory period for n than three months after the m	amount of the fee. The appropr aply originally set in the final Offi ailing date of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismissal of th		
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t  They raise new issues that would require further cor  be They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below the see NOTE below).	sideration and/or search (s		ecause	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a compared to the appeal of the			he issues for	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		Non-Compliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).	
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		parate, timely filed amendme	nt canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims ould be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		)	explanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome all rejections unde	er appeal and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a	
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims	after entry is below or attach	ied.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See attachment	does NOT place the application	cation in condition for allowar	ice because:	
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13.  Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).			
/Mark Eashoo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796	Mark Eashoo SPE Art Unit: 1796			